

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,192	08/23/2005	Janel Fone	HO-P03067US0	6437
26271 7590 03/19/2008 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1301 MCKINNEY			HELM, CARALYNNE E	
SUITE 5100 HOUSTON, T.	X 77010-3095		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE 03/19/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/510 192 FONE, JANEL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CARALYNNE HELM 1615 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 and 14-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/05, 1/3/06

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/510,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I where the composition is a complete balanced foodstuff in

the reply filed on February 15, 2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and

specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been

treated as an election without traverse (MPEP \S 818.03(a)). The restriction is deemed proper

and thereby made FINAL.

Claims 6-10 and 14-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions and species, there being no allowable

generic or linking claim.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the descriptions for

Figure 1 and Figure 2 state that the diet represented by the number one corresponds to the O

(owner's) diet; however, both the slow and fast learners received the O diet. Therefore it is

unclear whether this data represents the slow learners, the fast learners, or is a combination of

both.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/510,192

Art Unit: 1615

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Although suggestions are made within the specification as to what constitutes "an amount of leucine sufficient to enhance the learning ability of an animal", no explicit definition or recitation of the exact amount that corresponds to this function is given in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ray et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,283,077).

Ray et al. disclose a low calorie snack food (complete and balanced foodstuff) where the content of leucine was measured to be 4.7% (see table I; instant claims 1, 2, 4, and 5). Ray et al. disclose that their composition is made with a 12-20% moisture content, thus their taught weight percentages are on "wet basis" (see column 5 lines 16-25). Based upon the disclosure of the applicant, a leucine percentage of 0.5% or greater on an "as is" basis is sufficient to "enhance the learning ability of an animal" and in particular a dog (see instant specification page 5 lines 4-9). Therefore claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are unpatentable over Ray et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,192

Art Unit: 1615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

The four factual inquires of Graham v. John Deere Co. have been fully considered and analyzed in the rejections that follow.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray et al.

Ray et al. teach a low calorie snack food (complete and balanced foodstuff) where the content of leucine was measured to be 4.7% (see table I; instant claim 1). However, Ray et al. do not specifically teach the leucine being present at 2.3%. The content of leucine, an essential amino acid, is a parameter whose benefits are known to those of ordinary skill in the art and thus the routine optimization of this parameter would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention (see column 6 lines 51-54; instant claim 3).

Therefore claims 1 and 3 are obvious over Ray et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,192 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CARALYNNE HELM whose telephone number is (571)270-3506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8-5 (EDT).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Caralynne Helm/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615 /Michael P Woodward/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615